



ALL INDIA ASSOCIATION OF COAL EXECUTIVES (AIACE)

(Regd. under The Trade Union Act 1926; Regd. No. 546 / 2016)

302, Block No. - 304, RamKrishna Enclave, Nutan Chowk, Sarkanda, Bilaspur (CG);

Email: centralaiace@gmail.com; Ph. 9907434051

AIACE/CENTRAL/2019/70

Dated 3 /5 /2019

To

The Chairman,
Coal India Limited,
Coal Bhawan,
Premise No-04 MAR,
Plot No-AF-III, Action Area-1A,
Newtown, Rajarhat,
Kolkata-700156

Sub:-- Appeal for post treatment approval under CPRMSE for wife of Sri P A Chaugule, Retd. Chief Manager (Geology), EIS No. 90094921

Dear Sir,

Our member Sri P.A. Chaugule, Retd. Chief Manager (Geology) retired on 31/05/2011 from RI-IV, CMPDI, Nagpur.

Prior to his retirement, his wife was suffering from knee pains since 2005 but was pulling on somehow. However, in October' 2016, the pain became severe and after preliminary examination by Dr Wakankar, M.S. (Orth.) , she was suggested to visit M/S Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital, Pune for TKR surgery. It is to be noted that at that point of time there was not a single hospital, empanelled with CIL, was available at Pune and this hospital is a reputed hospital, recognised by Maharashtra State Government.

Accordingly, registration for TKR surgery was done on 21/10/2016 at Deenanath Hospital, Pune vide registration card(patient No) No 765717 and treatment commenced on 21/10/2016 itself .As the treatment progressed and patient's condition was conducive for surgery, subsequently the surgery was done on 21.9.2017 and discharged on 25.9.2017.

Meanwhile, during the course of treatment at this Deenanath Mangeshkar hospital, Sancheti Hospital, Pune got itself empanelled with CIL, but it was difficult for the patient to switch over to this new hospital in between the course of treatment at the former hospital. Since his wife was undergoing treatment in a government recognised hospital, Mr Chaugule thought that it was not mandatory on his part to go for prior intimation, if any required, to CMO, CMPDI.

Mr Chaugule had submitted a reimbursement claim of Rs Rs 2,14,196/ (Two Lakh fourteen thousand one hundred ninety six) on 20.11.2017 to CMPDI, where his bill returned and was refused reimbursement on the ground that the treatment is not undertaken at Sancheti Hospital, Pune. It is requested to reopen and reconsider the claim given the fact that a hospital cannot be changed midway on this technical ground.

It is requested to approve, in principle, the treatment of Mrs Chaugule and issue needful directive to medical department. Upon favourable approval from your end, a communication may kindly be issued to Sri Chaugule asking him for resubmission of his claim for further processing.. It is requested that, in this case, the following clause may please be applied liberally which states that,

Clause 3.2.1(a)(i) : Where there is no CIL empanelled Hospital at places where the retired executives reside/unable to go to such empanelled hospitals/Diagnostic Centres, the retired Executives can avail the medical facilities from other PSU hospital/ other PSUs empanelled Hospital, ESI Hospital, Government hospital including hospital under Municipal Corporation or Hospital/Diagnostic Centres empanelled by CGHS subject to CGHS rates for the items covered under CGHS and referred by Company Doctor or other extant guidelines and claim reimbursement of expenses incurred.

Looking forward to a positive response from your end,

Regards,



P K SINGH RATHOR
Principal General Secretary

Copy to

The Chairman, CIL, Kolkata— With a request for approval approve, in principle, the treatment of Mrs Chaugule and needful direction to CMPDI management.